arXiv:1203.5837v4 [math.FA] 14 Sep 2012

Polygonal equalities and virtual degeneracy in L_p-spaces

Casey Kelleher, Daniel Miller, Trenton Osborn, and Anthony Weston

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to study non-trivial p-polygonal equalities in L_p-spaces, $0 < p < \infty$. These are equalities that can be expressed in the form

$$\sum_{j,i=1}^{n} \|z_j - z_i\|_p^p \eta_j \eta_i \quad = \quad 0$$

where $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ is a subset of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ and $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ are non-zero real numbers such that $\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n = 0$. In the case $0 < p < 2$ we obtain a classification of the collection of all non-trivial p-polygonal equalities admitted by $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ according to a property that we call virtual degeneracy. This implies a classification of the subsets of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that have strict p-negative type. These classifications break down in the Hilbert space case $p = 2$ primarily on account of the parallelogram identity. In the case $p > 2$ we obtain partial results about the collection of all non-trivial p-polygonal equalities in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. One reason for studying p-polygonal equalities in L_p-spaces is that they preclude the existence of certain types of isometry. For example, our techniques show that if $0 < p < \infty$ and (X, d) is a metric space that has q-negative type for some $q > p$, then (X, d) is not isometric to any linear subspace W of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that contains a pair of disjointly supported non-zero vectors. Under these same hypotheses it is also the case that (X, d) is not isometric to any subset of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that has non-empty interior. These non-embedding theorems are, in fact, special instances of a more general principle: If (Y, ρ) is a metric space whose supremal p-negative type $\wp$ is finite and if (X, d) is a metric space that has q-negative type for some $q > \wp$, then (X, d) is not isometric to any metric subspace of (Y, ρ) that admits a non-trivial p_1-polygonal equality for some $p_1 \in [\wp, q)$. It is notable in all of these instances that (X, d) can, for example, be any ultrametric space.

1. Introduction

The starting point for this paper is the following intriguing result of Elsner *et al.* [4, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^n$ *and* $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^n$ *be two collections of functions in* $L_1(\Omega, \mu)$. *Then*

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} \|x_{j_1} - x_{j_2}\|_1 + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} \|y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}\|_1 \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i=1}^{n} \|x_j - y_i\|_1 \qquad (1.1)$$

if and only if for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, *the numerical sets* $\{x_k(\omega)\}_{k=1}^n$ *and* $\{y_k(\omega)\}_{k=1}^n$ *are identical.*

It is helpful to recall that a *numerical set* is just a finite collection of possibly repeated (real or complex) numbers. Two numerical sets $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are said to be *identical* if there exists a permutation $\pi(k)$ of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ such that $\zeta_{\pi(k)} = \xi_k$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

Our interest in Theorem 1.1 is that it is closely related to the problem of characterizing the instances of non-trivial equality in the classical 1-negative type inequalities for $L_1(\Omega, \mu)$. Indeed, if (for example) we assume in the statement of Theorem 1.1 that the functions $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ are pairwise distinct, then the equality (1.1) may be rewritten in the form

$$\sum_{j,i=1}^{2n} \eta_j \eta_i \|z_j - z_i\|_1 \quad = \quad 0 \qquad (1.2)$$

where the real numbers $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{2n}$ sum to zero with each $\eta_k \in \{-1, 1\}$ and z_k is an x_j or y_i depending on the sign of η_k. In this way we see that Theorem 1.1 provides specific instances of non-trivial equality in the classical 1-negative type inequalities for $L_1(\Omega, \mu)$. In fact, as we will see, Theorem 1.1 goes to within a whisker of characterizing all such instances of equality.

In this paper we study non-trivial p-polygonal equalities in L_p-spaces, $0 < p < \infty$. These are equalities that can be expressed in the form

$$\sum_{j,i=1}^{n} \|z_j - z_i\|_p^p \eta_j \eta_i \quad = \quad 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ is a subset of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ and $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ are non-zero real numbers such that $\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n = 0$. In the event that $0 < p \leq 2$ such equalities are of particular theoretical interest because, in this case, $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ has p-negative type but it does not have q-negative type for any $q > p$. This means that in the case $0 < p \leq 2$, we may view each p-polygonal equality as being an instance of non-trivial equality in a classical p-negative type inequality for $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, and conversely. In Theorem 3.15 we classify all such instances of equality in the non-trivial classical p-negative type inequalities for $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, $0 < p < 2$. Corollary 3.18 notes that this leads directly to a classification of the subsets of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, $0 < p < 2$, that have strict p-negative type. Our approach to these classifications is based on a new property of L_p-spaces that we call virtual degeneracy. Specialization to the case $p = 1$ reveals a more general form of Theorem 1.1. These classifications break down in the Hilbert space case $p = 2$ primarily on account of the parallelogram identity. In the case $p > 2$ we obtain partial results about the collection of all non-trivial p-polygonal equalities in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. One reason for studying p-polygonal equalities in L_p-spaces is that they preclude the existence of certain types of isometry. For example, Theorem 3.23 shows that if $0 < p < \infty$ and if (X, d) is a metric space that has q-negative type for some $q > p$, then (X, d) is not isometric to any linear subspace W of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that contains a pair of disjointly supported non-zero vectors. Under these same hypotheses it is also the case that (X, d) is not isometric to any subset of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that has non-empty interior. These non-embedding theorems are, in fact, special instances of a more general principle (Theorem 3.9): If (Y, ρ) is a metric space whose supremal p-negative type $\wp$ is finite and if (X, d) is a metric space that has q-negative type for some $q > \wp$, then (X, d) is not isometric to any metric subspace of (Y, ρ) that admits a non-trivial p_1-polygonal equality for some $p_1 \in [\wp, q)$. It is notable in all of these instances that (X, d) can, for example, be any ultrametric space.

As a basic technique used throughout the paper we do not deal directly with equalities of the form (1.3). We instead focus, equivalently, on non-trivial weighted generalized roundness equalities with exponent p. These are equalities that can be expressed in the form

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} \|x_{j_1} - x_{j_2}\|_p^p + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} \|y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}\|_p^p \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i \|x_j - y_i\|_p^p. \tag{1.4}$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, $\{x_j : 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cap \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\} = \emptyset$, $m_1, \ldots, m_s > 0$, $n_1, \ldots, n_t > 0$, and $m_1 + \cdots + m_s = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$. The transition between (1.3) and (1.4) is discussed in Remark 2.4.

The overall organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the basic notions of negative type and generalized roundness. In Section 3 we formally introduce p-polygonal equalities and virtual degeneracy. This section contains all of the results mentioned in the preceding paragraphs. All results in Section 3 are equally valid for real or complex L_p-spaces. Section 4 completes the paper with a consideration of virtually degenerate linear subspaces of L_p-spaces ($0 < p < \infty$). The importance of such linear subspaces is that they do not have q-negative type for any $q > p$. Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 provide ways to construct such subspaces. Throughout the paper we use $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ to denote the set of all natural numbers. Whenever sums are indexed over the empty set they are defined to be 0. All measures are non-trivial and positive. All L_p-spaces are endowed with the usual (quasi) norm and are assumed to be at least two-dimensional.

2. Negative type and generalized roundness

The notions of negative type and generalized roundness recalled below in Definition 2.1 were formally introduced and studied by Menger [**12**], Schoenberg [**15, 16, 17**] and Enflo [**5**]. Menger and Schoenberg were interested in determining which metric spaces can be isometrically embedded into a Hilbert space. Enflo's interest, on the other hand, was to construct a separable metric space that admits no uniform embedding into any Hilbert space. More recently, there has been interest in the notion of "strict" p-negative type, particularly as it pertains to the geometry of finite metric spaces. In the present work we will see that strict p-negative type can also have a rôle to play in certain infinite-dimensional settings. Papers that have been instrumental in developing properties of metrics of strict p-negative type include [**7, 8, 3, 11, 18, 14**].

Definition 2.1. Let $p \geq 0$ and let (X, d) be a metric space.

1. (X, d) has *p-negative type* if and only if for all finite subsets $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \subseteq X$ $(n \geq 2)$ and all choices of real numbers $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$ with $\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n = 0$, we have:
$$\sum_{j,i=1}^{n} d(z_j, z_i)^p \eta_j \eta_i \quad \leq \quad 0. \tag{2.1}$$
2. (X, d) has *strict p-negative type* if and only if it has p-negative type and the inequality (2.1) is strict whenever the scalar n-tuple $(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$.
3. We say that p is a *generalized roundness exponent* for (X, d) if and only if for all integers $n > 0$, and all choices of points $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in X$, we have:
$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2})^p + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} d(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2})^p \quad \leq \quad \sum_{j,i=1}^{n} d(x_j, y_i)^p.$$

It is important to note that if a metric space has p-negative type but not strict p-negative type for some $p > 0$, then there must be a subset $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \subseteq X$ and a choice of real numbers $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$, not all 0, such that $\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n = 0$ and
$$\sum_{j,i=1}^{n} d(z_j, z_i)^p \eta_j \eta_i \quad = \quad 0. \tag{2.2}$$
Clearly, if some $\eta_k = 0$ in this setting, then we may discard the pair (z_k, η_k) from the configuration without disrupting the underlying equalities. In such situations, we may therefore assume that every η_k is non-zero.

The following fundamental fact is due to Schoenberg [**17**].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 < p \leq 2$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. Then $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ has p-negative type but it does not have q-negative type for any $q > p$.*

By way of comparison, if $2 < p \leq \infty$ and $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ is of dimension at least 3, then $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ does not have q-negative type for any $q > 0$. This follows from theorems of Dor [**2**], Misiewicz [**13**] and Koldobsky [**9**].

It is a basic but essential fact that conditions (1) and (3) in Definition 2.1 are equivalent for any given metric space (X, d). This is because repetitions are allowed between the x's and y's in Definition 2.1 (3). Indeed, more generally, we have the following theorem at our disposal.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $p \geq 0$ and let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *(X, d) has p-negative type.*
2. *For all $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, all choices of pairwise distinct points $x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t \in X$ and all choices of real numbers $m_1, \ldots, m_s, n_1, \ldots, n_t > 0$ such that $m_1 + \cdots + m_s = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$, we have:*
$$\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq s} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2})^p \;+ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq t} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} d(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2})^p \quad \leq \quad \sum_{j,i=1}^{s,t} m_j n_i d(x_j, y_i)^p. \tag{2.3}$$
3. *p is a generalized roundness exponent for (X, d).*

Moreover, (X, d) has strict p-negative type if and only if the inequality (2.3) is strict for all choices of pairwise distinct points $x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t \in X$ and all choices of real numbers $m_1, \ldots, m_s, n_1, \ldots, n_t > 0$ such that $m_1 + \cdots + m_s = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$.

Remark 2.4. The equivalence of (1), (2) and (3) in Theorem 2.3 is due to Lennard *et al.* [**10**, Theorem 2.4]. The statement concerning strict p-negative type is due to Doust and Weston [**3**, Remark 2.5]. By definition, p-negative type equalities of the form (2.2) are said to be *non-trivial*. Such equalities correspond in a precise way to instances of equality in (2.3). It is helpful to recall the transition between these two types of equality. Suppose that we have
$$\sum_{j,i=1}^{n} d(z_j, z_i)^p \eta_j \eta_i \quad = \quad 0 \tag{2.4}$$
for some subset $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \subseteq X$ and choice of non-zero real numbers $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \neq 0$ such that $\eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n = 0$. By relabeling $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ (if necessary) we may choose natural numbers s, t such that $s + t = n$,

$\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_s > 0$ and $\eta_{s+1}, \eta_{s+2}, \ldots, \eta_n < 0$. Let $\alpha = \eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_s = -(\eta_{s+1} + \cdots + \eta_n) > 0$. Now set $x_j = z_j$ and $m_j = \eta_j$ for all j, $1 \leq j \leq s$. Similarly, set $y_i = z_{s+i}$ and $n_i = -\eta_{s+i}$ for all i, $1 \leq i \leq t$. By rearranging (2.4) according to the sign of the product $\eta_i \eta_j$ and bearing in mind the prescribed designations we obtain:

$$\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq s} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2})^p + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq t} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} d(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2})^p = \sum_{j,i=1}^{s,t} m_j n_i d(x_j, y_i)^p. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, $m_1 + \cdots + m_s = \alpha = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$ by construction. This process is clearly reversible. It is worth noting that this remark may be easily adapted to prove the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Theorem 2.3.

In the next section, Lemma 3.8 points out that the existence of a non-trivial equality of the form (2.4) or (2.5) necessarily implies that the underlying metric space (X, d) cannot have q-negative type for any $q > p$.

3. Polygonal equalities and virtual degeneracy

Definition 3.1. Let $p > 0$ and let (X, d) be a metric space.

1. A collection of (not necessarily distinct) points $x_1, \ldots, x_s, y_1, \ldots, y_t$ points in X together with corresponding real numbers $m_1, \ldots, m_s, n_1, \ldots, n_t > 0$ that satisfy $m_1 + \cdots + m_s = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$ will be denoted by $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ and will be called a *weighted* $(s+t)$*-simplex in* (X, d) or, more simply, a *simplex in* X when the context is clear.
2. A simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in X is said to be *pure* if $\{x_j : 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cap \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\} = \emptyset$.
3. A simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in X is said to be *full* if the points $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in X$ are pairwise distinct and the points $y_1, \ldots, y_t \in X$ are pairwise distinct.
4. A simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in X is said to be *completely refined* if it is both pure and full.
5. A p*-polygonal equality* in (X, d) is an equality of the form

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2})^p + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} d(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2})^p = \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i d(x_j, y_i)^p \tag{3.1}$$

   where $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ is a weighted $(s+t)$-simplex in X.

Remark 3.2. It is worth noting that reduction to a full simplex is always possible. To see this, suppose that $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ is a weighted $(s+t)$-simplex in a metric space (X, d) for which (3.1) holds. If, for example, we have $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_k$ for some natural number $k > 1$, we may form a new simplex by replacing the simplex pairs $x_1(m_1), \ldots, x_k(m_k)$ in D with the lone pair $x_k(m_1 + \cdots + m_k)$. This rearranges but does not alter the underlying p-polygonal equality in any essential way and the process is clearly reversible. By carrying out a series of such refinements, modulo relabeling, we obtain a full simplex $D^\sharp$ that is *equivalent* to D in the sense that essentially the same p-polygonal equality holds for both simplices. Despite this reduction always being possible we will see that for technical reasons it is imperative to consider simplices which are not full. They arise naturally. On the other hand, reduction to a pure simplex is certainly not always possible. Remark 3.5 indicates how this may occur.

In order to define precisely what we mean by a *non-trivial* p-polygonal equality in a metric space it is necessary to introduce the notions of repeating numbers and degenerate simplices.

Definition 3.3. Given a weighted $(s+t)$-simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in a metric space (X, d) we denote by $S(D)$ the set of distinct points in X that appear in D. In other words,

$$S(D) = \{z \in X : z = x_j \text{ for some } j \text{ or } z = y_i \text{ for some } i\}.$$

For each $z \in S(D)$ we define the *repeating numbers* $\mathbf{m}(z)$ and $\mathbf{n}(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(z) &= \sum_{j : z = x_j} m_j, \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{n}(z) &= \sum_{i : z = y_i} n_i. \end{aligned}$$

We say that the simplex D is *degenerate* if $\mathbf{m}(z) = \mathbf{n}(z)$ for all $z \in S(D)$.

In relation to Definition 3.3 it is important to note that some of the sums defining $\mathbf{m}(z)$ or $\mathbf{n}(z)$, $z \in S(D)$, may be indexed over the empty set $\emptyset$. The convention in this paper is that all such sums are equal to 0. Pure simplices provide a special class of non-degenerate simplices. In the case of a pure simplex D in a metric space (X, d) we will have $\min\{\mathbf{m}(z), \mathbf{n}(z)\} = 0$ and $\max\{\mathbf{m}(z), \mathbf{n}(z)\} > 0$ for all $z \in S(D)$.

Remark 3.4. The intuitive idea of a degenerate simplex D is that each $z \in S(D)$ is equally represented in the two halves $x_1(m_1), \ldots, x_s(m_s)$ and $y_1(n_1), \ldots, y_t(n_t)$ of the simplex. In this case, the p-polygonal equality

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2})^p + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} d(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2})^p \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i d(x_j, y_i)^p$$

will hold for all $p > 0$. This follows simply by collecting and canceling like terms on the left and right sides. Eventually we obtain the equation $0 = 0$. It is for this reason that such simplices are called degenerate.

Remark 3.5. It is important to note that reduction to a degenerate or pure simplex is always possible. To see this, suppose that $p > 0$ and that $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ is a weighted $(s + t)$-simplex in a metric space (X, d) for which (3.1) holds. If, for arguments sake, we have $x_1 = y_1$ and $m_1 \leq n_1$, then we may create a refined simplex D^* by discarding x_1 and m_1 from D and by replacing n_1 with $n_1^* = n_1 - m_1$. In the event that $n_1^* = 0$ we also discard y_1 and n_1 from D. Due to cancellation of like terms, the refined simplex D^* supports essentially the same p-polygonal equality as D. By performing, modulo relabeling, all possible such refinements, it follows that we will either discard everything from the original simplex D (degeneracy) or the process will terminate with the construction of a pure simplex D^{**} that supports essentially the same p-polygonal equality as D. Thus exactly one of the following two statements must hold:

1. D is degenerate.
2. D has a pure refinement D^{**} that supports essentially the same p-polygonal equality as D.

In the event that (2) holds, we may further apply the refinement procedure of Remark 3.2 to produce a completely refined simplex $D^{**\sharp}$ that supports essentially the same p-polygonal equality as D.

We are now in a position to rigorously formulate the notion of a non-trivial p-polygonal equality.

Definition 3.6. Let $p > 0$ and let (X, d) be a metric space. A p-polygonal equality (3.1) in (X, d) is said to be *non-trivial* if the underlying simplex D is non-degenerate.

Remark 2.4 shows that each non-trivial equality of the form (2.4) may be equivalently expressed as a p-polygonal equality of the form (3.1) with the additional property that the underlying simplex is completely refined (and hence non-degenerate), and conversely. On the other hand, given a non-degenerate simplex D for which (3.1) holds, Remark 3.5 shows that D has a complete refinement $D^{**\sharp}$ that supports essentially the same p-polygonal equality. It is therefore the case that each non-trivial equality of the form (2.4) gives rise to a non-trivial p-polygonal equality, and conversely. These comments imply the following useful lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $p > 0$ and let (X, d) be a metric space that has p-negative type. Then (X, d) has strict p-negative type if and only if it admits no non-trivial p-polygonal equality.*

As a consequence of existing theory and Lemma 3.7 we obtain the following crucial result.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $p > 0$. If a metric space (X, d) admits a non-trivial p-polygonal equality, then:*

1. *(X, d) does not have q-negative type for any $q > p$, and*
2. *(X, d) does not have strict p-negative type.*

Proof. Suppose (X, d) admits a non-trivial p-polygonal equality for some $p > 0$. If we assume that (X, d) has q-negative type for some $q > p$, then it must have strict p-negative type by Theorem 5.4 in Li and Weston [11]. However, this would contradict Lemma 3.7. □

Lemma 3.8 implies the following natural isometric embedding principle.

Theorem 3.9. *Let (Y, ρ) be a metric space whose supremal p-negative type $\wp$ is finite. Let (X, d) be a metric space that has q-negative type for some $q > \wp$. Then: (X, d) is not isometric to any metric subspace of (Y, ρ) that admits a non-trivial p_1-polygonal equality for some p_1 such that $\wp \leq p_1 < q$.*

Proof. Let $Z \subseteq Y$. Suppose that (Z, ρ) admits a non-trivial p_1-polygonal equality for some $p_1 \in [\wp, q)$. By Lemma 3.8, the supremal p-negative type of (Z, ρ) cannot exceed p_1. On the other hand, the supremal p-negative type of (X, d) is at least q. As $q > p_1$, we conclude that (X, d) is not isometric to (Z, ρ). $\square$

In relation to Theorem 3.9 it is worth noting that the supremal p-negative type of a metric space (Y, ρ) is infinite if and only if (Y, ρ) is ultrametric. This theorem is due to Faver *et al.* [**6**, Theorem 5.2].

In order to apply Theorem 3.9 we now turn our attention to the study of p-polygonal equalities in L_p-spaces, $0 < p < \infty$. Our starting point is the following consequence of Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.10. *Let (X, d) be a metric space whose supremal p-negative type $\wp$ is non-zero and suppose that $0 < p_1 < \wp$. Then (X, d) admits no non-trivial p_1-polygonal equalities.*

Proof. (X, d) has strict p_1-negative type Li and Weston [**11**, Theorem 5.4]. Now apply Lemma 3.7. $\square$

Theorem 3.11. *Let (X, d) be a metric space whose supremal p-negative type $\wp$ is non-zero and suppose that $0 < p_1 < \wp$. Given a weighted $(s+t)$-simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in X, we have the p_1-polygonal equality*

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} d(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2})^{p_1} + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} d(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2})^{p_1} \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i d(x_j, y_i)^{p_1} \tag{3.2}$$

if and only if the simplex D is degenerate.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose $0 < p_1 < \wp$. If the equality (3.2) holds it must be trivial by Lemma 3.10. In other words, D must be degenerate.

$(\Leftarrow)$ Trivial by Remark 3.4. $\square$

For example, the complex plane endowed with the usual metric has supremal p-negative type $\wp = 2$. This leads to the following special case of Theorem 3.11 that will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.15.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $0 < p < 2$. Given a weighted $(s+t)$-simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in the complex plane endowed with the usual metric, we have the p-polygonal equality*

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} |x_{j_1} - x_{j_2}|^p + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} |y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}|^p \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i |x_j - y_i|^p$$

if and only if the simplex D is degenerate.

It is important to note that the reverse implication in the statement of Theorem 3.11 holds for all $p_1 > 0$.

Definition 3.13. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. A non-degenerate weighted $(s+t)$-simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ is said to be *virtually degenerate* if the family of weighted $(s+t)$-simplices $D(\omega) = [x_j(\omega)(m_j); y_i(\omega)(n_i)]_{s,t}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, are degenerate in the scalar field of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ μ-a.e.

In the case of $\ell_p^{(n)}$ as well as ℓ_p the condition that defines virtual degeneracy will hold everywhere. There are more technical settings where this may occur but we will not discuss them in this paper. The importance of virtually degenerate simplices is that they give rise to non-trivial p-polygonal equalities in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. If $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ is a virtually degenerate simplex in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, then we have the non-trivial p-polygonal equality*

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} \|x_{j_1} - x_{j_2}\|_p^p + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} \|y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}\|_p^p \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i \|x_j - y_i\|_p^p.$$

Proof. If we assume that $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ is a virtually degenerate simplex in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ then, by definition, we have

$$\sum_{j_1 < j_2} m_{j_1} m_{j_2} |x_{j_1}(\omega) - x_{j_2}(\omega)|^p + \sum_{i_1 < i_2} n_{i_1} n_{i_2} |y_{i_1}(\omega) - y_{i_2}(\omega)|^p \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i |x_j(\omega) - y_i(\omega)|^p$$

for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$. Integrating over Ω with respect to μ gives the desired conclusion. $\square$

Theorem 3.15. *Let $0 < p < 2$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. Given a non-degenerate weighted $(s+t)$-simplex $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, we have the p-polygonal equality*

$$\sum_{j_1<j_2} m_{j_1}m_{j_2}\|x_{j_1} - x_{j_2}\|_p^p + \sum_{i_1<i_2} n_{i_1}n_{i_2}\|y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}\|_p^p \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i \|x_j - y_i\|_p^p \tag{3.3}$$

if and only if the simplex is virtually degenerate.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) It suffices to assume that the scalar field of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ is the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let $D = [x_j(m_j); y_i(n_i)]_{s,t}$ be a given non-degenerate weighted $(s+t)$-simplex in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ for which the equality (3.3) holds. Then $D(\omega) = [x_j(\omega)(m_j); y_i(\omega)(n_i)]_{s,t}$ is a weighted $(s+t)$-simplex in the complex plane for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover, as the complex plane endowed with the usual metric has p-negative type, we obtain

$$\sum_{j_1<j_2} m_{j_1}m_{j_2}|x_{j_1}(\omega) - x_{j_2}(\omega)|^p + \sum_{i_1<i_2} n_{i_1}n_{i_2}|y_{i_1}(\omega) - y_{i_2}(\omega)|^p \quad \leq \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i |x_j(\omega) - y_i(\omega)|^p \tag{3.4}$$

for each $\omega \in \Omega$. The inequalities (3.4) cannot be strict on any set of positive measure as this would imply

$$\sum_{j_1<j_2} m_{j_1}m_{j_2}\|x_{j_1} - x_{j_2}\|_p^p + \sum_{i_1<i_2} n_{i_1}n_{i_2}\|y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}\|_p^p \quad < \quad \sum_{j,i} m_j n_i \|x_j - y_i\|_p^p,$$

thereby violating (3.3). So the inequalities (3.4) must hold at equality μ-a.e. on Ω. Therefore the family of weighted $(s+t)$-simplices $D(\omega) = [x_j(\omega)(m_j); y_i(\omega)(n_i)]_{s,t}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, are degenerate in the complex plane μ-a.e. by the forward implication of Corollary 3.12. In other words, the simplex D is virtually degenerate.

($\Leftarrow$) This follows immediately from Lemma 3.14. ☐

It is surprising to note that the forward implication of Theorem 3.15 does not hold for $p = 2$: Every parallelogram in a Hilbert space gives rise to a non-trivial 2-polygonal equality due to the *parallelogram identity* but not all parallelograms are virtually degenerate.

The following lemma deals with the special case that arises when a degenerate simplex D in a metric space (X, d) has weight 1 on each vertex. This case is of interest because it leads to a more general form of Theorem 1.1 (Elsner *et al.* [4, Theorem 2.3]) as a corollary of Theorem 3.15.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. A weighted $(n+n)$-simplex of the form $D = [x_j(1); y_i(1)]_{n,n}$ in a metric space (X, d) is degenerate if and only if there exists a permutation $\pi(k)$ of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ such that $x_{\pi(k)} = y_k$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that the simplex $D = [x_j(1); y_i(1)]_{n,n}$ in X is degenerate. Let $S(D)$ denote the set of distinct points in X that appear in D. Say, $S(D) = \{z_1, \ldots, z_l\}$. Because each vertex x_j or y_i in D has weight 1 we see that $\mathbf{m}(z_k) = |\{j : x_j = z_k\}|$ and $\mathbf{n}(z_k) = |\{i : y_i = z_k\}|$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq l$. For notational simplicity, we set $m_k = \mathbf{m}(z_k)$ and $n_k = \mathbf{n}(z_k)$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq l$. The assumption on D is that $m_k = n_k$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq l$. By additionally setting $m_0 = n_0 = 0$, we may choose permutations $\phi(k)$ and $\sigma(k)$ of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ so that $z_k = x_{\phi(m_0+\cdots+m_{k-1}+1)} = \cdots = x_{\phi(m_0+\cdots+m_k)} = y_{\sigma(n_0+\cdots+n_{k-1}+1)} = \cdots = y_{\sigma(n_0+\cdots+n_k)}$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq l$. (Points from each half of the simplex that are equal are now arranged in blocks of equal length.) It follows from our construction that $x_{\phi(k)} = y_{\sigma(k)}$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$. All that remains is to define the permutation $\pi = \phi\sigma^{-1}$. We then have $x_{\pi(k)} = y_k$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, as asserted.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose there is a permutation $\pi(k)$ of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ such that $x_{\pi(k)} = y_k$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $z \in S(D)$ be given. Once again it is the case that $\mathbf{m}(z) = |\{j : x_j = z\}|$ and $\mathbf{n}(z) = |\{i : y_i = z\}|$. Because of the assumption on D we see that if $z = y_i$, then $z = x_j$ where $j = \pi(i)$. Hence $\mathbf{n}(z) \leq \mathbf{m}(z)$. However, it is also the case that $x_k = y_{\pi^{-1}(k)}$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$. So, by the analogous argument, $\mathbf{m}(z) \leq \mathbf{n}(z)$ too. In a nutshell, $\mathbf{m}(z) = \mathbf{n}(z)$. We conclude that D is degenerate. ☐

Corollary 3.17. *Let $0 < p < 2$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ be given functions in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ such that the weighted $(n+n)$-simplex $D = [x_j(1); y_i(1)]_{n,n}$ is non-degenerate. Then we have the p-polygonal equality*

$$\sum_{j_1<j_2} \|x_{j_1} - x_{j_2}\|_p^p + \sum_{i_1<i_2} \|y_{i_1} - y_{i_2}\|_p^p \quad = \quad \sum_{j,i=1}^{n} \|x_j - y_i\|_p^p \tag{3.5}$$

if and only if for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, the numerical sets $\{x_k(\omega)\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{y_k(\omega)\}_{k=1}^n$ are identical.

Proof. By Theorem 3.15, the equality (3.5) holds if and only if the simplex $D = [x_j(1); y_i(1)]_{n,n}$ is virtually degenerate. Now let $\omega \in \Omega$ be given. By Lemma 3.16, the simplex $D(\omega) = [x_j(\omega)(1); y_i(\omega)(1)]_{n,n}$ is degenerate if and only if there exists a permutation $\pi(\omega, k)$ of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ such that $x_{\pi(\omega,k)}(\omega) = y_k(\omega)$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$. In other words, the simplex $D(\omega) = [x_j(\omega)(1); y_i(\omega)(1)]_{n,n}$ is degenerate if and only if the numerical sets $\{x_k(\omega)\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{y_k(\omega)\}_{k=1}^n$ are identical. The corollary is now evident. □

By specializing Corollary 3.17 to the case $p = 1$ we obtain Theorem 1.1 (Elsner *et al.* [4, Theorem 2.3]).

Lemma 3.14 and Theorem 3.15 have a number of other interesting corollaries. The first is a classification of the subsets of L_p-spaces $(0 < p < 2)$ that have strict p-negative type in terms of virtual degeneracy.

Corollary 3.18. *Let $0 < p < 2$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. A non-empty subset of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ has strict p-negative type if and only if it does not admit any virtually degenerate simplices.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.15. □

The proof of the following lemma indicates that virtually degenerate simplices are easily constructed in L_p-spaces. We will see that this has a number of important ramifications.

Lemma 3.19. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space.*

1. *Any linear subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that contains a pair of disjointly supported non-zero vectors admits a virtually degenerate simplex.*
2. *Every open ball in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ admits a virtually degenerate simplex.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 < p < \infty$. Consider two disjointly supported non-zero vectors $u, v \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. Set $x_1 = 0, x_2 = u + v, y_1 = u$ and $y_2 = v$. Then it is easy to check that $D = [x_1(1), x_2(1); y_1(1), y_2(1)]_{2,2}$ is a virtually degenerate simplex in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. Any linear subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that contains u and v also contains D. This establishes (1). On the other hand, every open ball in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ contains a translate of a dilation or contraction of the virtually degenerate simplex D. These operations preserve virtual degeneracy. Hence every open ball in $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ contains a virtually degenerate simplex. This establishes (2). □

Remark 3.20. The condition on the vectors appearing in the statement of Lemma 3.19 is well understood when $p \geq 1$. Indeed, it is germane to recall the following basic fact about L_p-spaces, $p \neq 2$. Let $1 \leq p < 2$ or $2 < p < \infty$. Then, vectors $u, v \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ are disjointly supported if and only if

$$\|u + v\|_p^p + \|u - v\|_p^p = 2(\|u\|_p^p + \|v\|_p^p). \tag{3.6}$$

Note that (3.6) is the p-polygonal equality that arises from the simplex D in the proof of Lemma 3.19.

The following definition is motivated by Lemma 3.19 (1).

Definition 3.21. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. A linear subspace W of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ is said to have *Property E* if there exists a pair of disjointly supported non-zero vectors $u, v \in W$.

Linear subspaces of L_p-spaces that have Property E cannot have strict p-negative type.

Corollary 3.22. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space.*

1. *No linear subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ with Property E has strict p-negative type.*
2. *No non-empty open subset of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ has strict p-negative type.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.8, 3.14 and 3.19. □

The next observation provides a general isometric embedding principle for L_p-spaces $(0 < p < \infty)$.

Theorem 3.23. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. Let (X, d) be a metric space that has q-negative type for some $q > p$. Then (X, d) is not isometric to any metric subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that admits a virtually degenerate simplex. In particular,*

1. *(X, d) is not isometric to any linear subspace W of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that has Property E, and*
2. *(X, d) is not isometric to any metric subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that has non-empty interior.*

Proof. The main statement follows from Lemma 3.7, Corollary 3.18 and Lemma 3.19. Indeed, if $0 < p \leq 2$, we may apply Theorem 3.9 to $(Y, \rho) = L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ with $p_1 = \wp = p$. And, if $2 < p < \infty$, we may apply Theorem 3.9 to $(Y, \rho) = L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ with $\wp = 0$ (or $\wp \in [1, 2]$ if $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ is 2-dimensional) and $p_1 = p$. The particular statements (1) and (2) then follow from Corollary 3.22. □

REMARK 3.24. There are always metric spaces that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.23 no matter what the value of $p \in (0, \infty)$. Ultrametric spaces, for instance, have q-negative type for all $q \geq 0$. In fact, by Faver *et al.* [**6**, Theorem 5.2], a metric space (X, d) has q-negative type for all $q \geq 0$ if and only if it is ultrametric.

The following special case of Theorem 3.23 is worth pointing out.

COROLLARY 3.25. *Let $0 < p < q \leq 2$. Suppose that (Ω_1, μ_1) and (Ω_2, μ_2) are measure spaces. Then, no metric subspace of $L_q(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$ is isometric to any metric subspace of $L_p(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ that that admits a virtually degenerate simplex. In particular:*

1. *No subset of $L_q(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$ is isometric to any linear subspace W of $L_p(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ that has Property E.*
2. *No subset of $L_q(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$ is isometric to any subset of $L_p(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ that has non-empty interior.*

PROOF. Let $p, q, (\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ and (Ω_2, μ_2) be given as in the statement of the corollary. All non-empty subsets of $L_q(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$ have q-negative type by Theorem 2.2. Now apply Theorem 3.23. $\square$

The purpose of the next section is to take a closer look at linear subspaces of L_p-spaces that admit virtually degenerate simplices.

4. Virtually degenerate subspaces of L_p-spaces

Determining exactly which linear subspaces of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ admit a virtually degenerate simplex is a moot question. For clarity of exposition it is helpful to make the following definition.

Definition 4.1. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and let (Ω, μ) be a measure space. A linear subspace W of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ will be called *virtually degenerate* if it admits a virtually degenerate simplex.

REMARK 4.2. Notice that if W is a virtually degenerate linear subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, then:

1. W does not have q-negative type for any $q > p$, and
2. W does not have strict p-negative type.

Moreover, provided $0 < p \leq 2$, it is the case that

3. W does have p-negative type.

Of course, no normed linear space has (strict) q-negative type for any $q > 2$. This is because normed linear spaces are mid-point convex. So points (1), (2) and (3) are really only of interest when $0 < p < 2$.

It is necessarily the case that some L_p-spaces contain linear subspaces that are not virtually degenerate. This is evident from the following celebrated theorem of Bretagnolle, Dacunha-Castelle and Krivine [**1**].

Theorem 4.3. *Let $0 < p \leq 2$ and let X be a real quasi-normed space. Then X is linearly isometric to a subspace of some L_p-space if and only if X has p-negative type.*

For instance, if $0 < p < q \leq 2$, then $L_q[0, 1]$ is linearly isometric to a subspace W of $L_p[0, 1]$. As W has q-negative type, we see that it cannot be a virtually degenerate linear subspace of $L_p[0, 1]$ by Remark 4.2.

Lemma 3.19 shows that every linear subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ with Property E must be virtually degenerate. However, Property E is rather special and it is by no means necessary for virtual degeneracy. Lemma 4.4 provides one means for constructing virtually degenerate linear subspaces of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that do not necessarily have Property E. We preface this lemma with some notational preliminaries.

Let $0 < p < \infty$ suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. Suppose $u, v \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. Let $\mathrm{supp}(v) \subseteq \Omega$ denote the support of the vector v. In other words, $\mathrm{supp}(v) = \{\omega \in \Omega : v(\omega) \neq 0\}$. If we define

$$u[v](\omega) = \begin{cases} u(\omega) & \text{when } \omega \in \mathrm{supp}(v) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $u[v]$ restricts u to the support of v. Put differently, $u[v] = u \cdot \chi_{\mathrm{supp}(v)}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space. Let W be a linear subspace of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$ that contains linearly independent vectors u and v such that:*

1. *$\mathrm{supp}(u) \cap \mathrm{supp}(v)$ has positive measure, and*
2. *$u[v]$ and $v[u]$ are linearly dependent.*

Then W is virtually degenerate.

Proof. We may choose a non-zero scalar α such that $\alpha u[v] - v[u] = 0$. Let $x_1 = \alpha u - v$, $x_2 = -\alpha u$, $x_3 = v$, $y_1 = v - \alpha u$, $y_2 = \alpha u$ and $y_3 = -v$. The condition that u and v be linearly independent guarantees that $x_j \neq y_i$ for $j, i \in \{1,2,3\}$, so the simplex we construct will be pure. It can be readily checked that:

(a) $x_1(\omega) = y_2(\omega)$, $x_2(\omega) = y_1(\omega)$ and $x_3(\omega) = y_3(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathrm{supp}(u) \backslash \mathrm{supp}(v)$,
(b) $x_1(\omega) = y_1(\omega)$, $x_2(\omega) = y_3(\omega)$ and $x_3(\omega) = y_2(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathrm{supp}(u) \cap \mathrm{supp}(v)$, and
(c) $x_1(\omega) = y_3(\omega)$, $x_2(\omega) = y_2(\omega)$ and $x_3(\omega) = y_1(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathrm{supp}(v) \backslash \mathrm{supp}(u)$.

This demonstrates that the simplex $D = [x_j(1); y_i(1)]_{3,3}$ in W is virtually degenerate. $\square$

For an example of a linear subspace without Property E which admits a virtually degenerate simplex as per Lemma 4.4, consider the subspace $W = \langle (1,1,0), (0,1,1) \rangle$ of $\ell_p^{(3)}$ and set $u = (1,1,0)$ and $v = (0,1,1)$. The proof of the next theorem shows that Lemma 4.4 can be applied in infinite-dimensional settings.

Theorem 4.5. *If $0 < p < \infty$, then ℓ_p has a virtually degenerate linear subspace W without Property E.*

Proof. Let $0 < p < \infty$. We construct an infinite-dimensional linear subspace W of ℓ_p that does not have Property E but which satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.4. The construction proceeds in the following manner. Let p_n denote the nth prime number and define a vector $x_n = (x_n(l)) \in \ell_p$ by setting:

$$x_n(l) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} 2^{-l} & \text{if } p_n \,|\, l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $x_j[x_i] = x_i[x_j]$ for all j, i by construction. Now let W denote the linear subspace of ℓ_p spanned by the set $S = \{x_n : n \geq 1\}$. As x_n is the only vector in S whose p_nth coordinate is non-zero we see that S is linearly independent and hence W is infinite-dimensional. Consider two non-zero vectors $x, y \in W$. Say,

$$x = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j x_j \text{ and } y = \sum_{k \in K} \beta_k x_k \in W,$$

where $\alpha_j, \beta_k \neq 0$ for all $j \in J, k \in K$. We claim that x and y do not have disjoint support. Indeed, if there exists an $i \in J \cap K$, then both x and y are non-zero in the p_ith coordinate. On the other hand, if $j \in J$, $k \in K$ and $J \cap K = \emptyset$, then $x(p_j p_k) = \alpha_j 2^{-p_j p_k}$ and $y(p_j p_k) = \beta_k 2^{-p_j p_k}$ are both non-zero. Thus the infinite-dimensional linear subspace $W \subset \ell_p$ does not have Property E. However, as we have noted that any two basis vectors x_i, x_j of W satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.4, we see that W is virtually degenerate. Note also that W has the stronger property that any finite set of vectors in W have intersecting support. $\square$

Remark 4.6. It is worth noting that the preceding construction may be tweaked so that the resulting infinite-dimensional linear subspace $W \subset \ell_p$ does not satisfy Property E or the condition in Lemma 4.4. As before we let p_n denote the nth prime number but this time we define $x_n = (x_n(l)) \in \ell_p$ as follows:

$$x_n(l) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} p_n^{-l} & \text{if } p_n \,|\, l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let W denote the linear subspace of ℓ_p spanned by the set $S = \{x_n : n \geq 1\}$. As x_n is the only vector in S whose p_nth coordinate is non-zero we see that S is linearly independent and hence W is infinite-dimensional. Consider two linearly independent vectors $x, y \in W$. Say,

$$x = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j x_j \text{ and } y = \sum_{k \in K} \beta_k x_k \in W,$$

where $\alpha_j, \beta_k \neq 0$ for all $j \in J$, $k \in K$. (If $j \notin J$, then we may set $\alpha_j = 0$, and so on.) We claim that x and y have intersecting support, and that $x[y]$ and $y[x]$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if x and y share the same basis vectors then we need only consider the coordinates $L = \{p_j \,|\, j \in J\}$. These coordinates lie in the support of x and y, and if x and y were linearly dependent on L we would have $\alpha_j = c\beta_j$ for some non-zero constant c and all $j \in J$, and this would imply that x and y are linearly dependent. If x and y do not share the same basis vectors, then we may assume without loss of generality that $J \backslash K \neq \emptyset$. If $j \in J \backslash K$ and $k \in K$, then x is zero at at most one coordinate among $p_j p_k, (p_j p_k)^2, (p_j p_k)^3, \ldots$ by the uniqueness of any solution to $\alpha_j p_j^{-l} + \alpha_k p_k^{-l} = 0$ with respect to l. Moreover, y is non-zero on all of these coordinates. The vectors x and y are linearly independent when restricted to any two of these coordinates on which x is non-zero (by the uniqueness of any solution to $\alpha_j p_j^{-l} + c p_k^{-l} = 0$ with respect to l), thereby showing that $x[y]$ and $y[x]$ are linearly independent. It follows that W does not satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.4.

Acknowledgments

The research presented in this paper was initiated at the 2011 Cornell University *Summer Mathematics Institute* (SMI). The authors would like to thank the Department of Mathematics and the Center for Applied Mathematics at Cornell University for supporting this project, and the National Science Foundation for its financial support of the SMI through NSF grant DMS-0739338. We are also very grateful for additional support from Canisius College and the University of New South Wales that facilitated the completion of this project. In addition, we would like to thank Ian Doust and Stephen Sánchez for their helpful comments.

References

[1] J. Bretagnolle, D. Dacunha-Castelle and J. L. Krivine, *Lois stables et espaces L^p*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.) **2** (1966), 231–259. 9
[2] L. E. Dor, *Potentials and isometric embeddings in L_1*, Israel J. Math. **24** (1976), 260–268. 3
[3] I. Doust and A. Weston, *Enhanced negative type for finite metric trees*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), 2336–2364. 3
[4] L. Elsner, L. Han, I. Koltracht, M. Neumann and M. Zippin, *On a polygon equality problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **223** (1998), 67–75. 1, 7, 8
[5] P. Enflo, *On a problem of Smirnov*, Ark. Mat. **8** (1969), 107–109. 2
[6] T. Faver, K. Kochalski, M. Murugan, H. Verheggen, E. Wesson and A. Weston, *Classifications of ultrametric spaces according to roundness*, preprint. 6, 9
[7] P. Hjorth, P. Lisoněk, S. Markvorsen and C. Thomassen, *Finite metric spaces of strictly negative type*, Linear Algebra Appl. **270** (1998), 255–273. 3
[8] P. G. Hjorth, S. L. Kokkendorff and S. Markvorsen, *Hyperbolic spaces are of strictly negative type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 175–181. 3
[9] A. Koldobsky, *Generalized Lévy representation of norms and isometric embeddings into L_p-spaces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **28** (1992), 335–353. 3
[10] C. J. Lennard, A. M. Tonge and A. Weston, *Generalized roundness and negative type*, Michigan Math. J. **44** (1997), 37–45. 3
[11] H. Li, A. Weston, *Strict p-negative type of a metric space*, Positivity **14** (2010), 529–545. 3, 5, 6
[12] K. Menger, *Die Metrik des Hilbert-Raumes*, Akad. Wiss. Wien Abh. Math.-Natur. K1 **65** (1928), 159–160. 2
[13] J. Misiewicz, *Positive definite norm dependent functions on ℓ^∞*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **8** (1989), 255–260. 3
[14] S. Sánchez, *On the supremal p-negative type of a finite metric space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **389** (2012), 98–107. 3
[15] I. J. Schoenberg, *Remarks to Maurice Frechet's article "Sur la définition axiomatique d'une classe d'espaces distanciés vectoriellement applicable sur l'espace de Hilbert."*, Ann. Math. **36** (1935), 724–732. 2
[16] I. J. Schoenberg, *On certain metric spaces arising from Euclidean spaces by a change of metric and their imbedding in Hilbert space*, Ann. Math. **38** (1937), 787–793. 2
[17] I. J. Schoenberg, *Metric spaces and positive definite functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 522–536. 2, 3
[18] R. Wolf, *On the gap of finite metric spaces of p-negative type*, Lin. Alg. Appl. **436** (2012), 1246–1257. 3

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697
E-mail address: `clkelleh@math.uci.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
E-mail address: `dm635@cornell.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798
E-mail address: `trenton_osborn@baylor.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Canisius College, Buffalo, NY 14208
E-mail address: `westona@canisius.edu`